UPDATE as of 2 April 2018

Purpose: Predict the cadence at which a child will go from a walk to a run.

Data Cleaning:

1. Only consider kids who have transitioned to running and completed the full state: Transitioned\_FullStage==1
2. Based on phone conversation and email correspondence, we considered only the following factors:
   1. Dependent Variable: Run\_Cadence
   2. Independent Variables: Sex, Age, Race, HeightCMAvg, WeightKGAvg, WaistAVE, BMIcont, BMIperc, BMIZ, Obesecat
3. There were no linear dependencies
4. There were several highly correlated independent variables >90%:
   1. WaistAvg and WeightKGAvg
   2. BMIperc and BMIz

We removed WaistAvg and BMIperc due to our perceive measurability (WaistAvg) and the future ability for the model to handle the independent variable (BMIper).

1. Final features considered in model selection: Age, Sex, Race, Obesecat, HeightCMAvg, WeightCMAvg, WeightKGAvg, BMIcont, BMIz

Linear Model

1. We created our ‘best’ linear model using the “Leaps” package in R.
   1. This model considers every subset of p predictors and returns the ‘best’ model for each number of predictors (1 to p-1).
   2. The best model for each size are selected based off of performance in AIC/BIC/CIC/DIC (all will rank models of equal size the same so specific criteria is irrelevant).
   3. We chose the best model of each size based off of BIC – if the client does not like this choice, we can re-look the analysis.
   4. BIC: -28.60957
   5. R^2: .514
   6. Model meets all 4 linear modeling assumptions: Linearity, Normality, Heteroscedasticity, Independence

We also propose a second model where we began the model building process with all predictors. We did not consider interpretability nor practicality of use.

Data Cleaning:

1. Only consider kids who have transitioned to running and completed the full state: Transitioned\_FullStage==1
2. Factors in Model:
   1. Dependent Variable: Run\_Cadence
   2. Independent Variables: Age, HeightCMAvg, WeightKGAvg, WaistAvg, SittingHeightAvg, Leglength, Tanita.Avg, BMIcont, BMIperc, BMIz, LastWalk, FirstRun, LastFullStage, Walk\_Speed, Walk\_Cadence, Walk\_VO2mlkgmin, Walk\_METSAdult, Walk\_METSYouth1, Walk\_METSYouth2, Walk\_METSYouth3, Run\_Speed, Run\_Cadence, Run\_VO2mlkgmin, Run\_METSAdult, Run\_METSYouth1, Run\_METSYouth2, Run\_METSYouth3, Sex, Agecat, Race, Obesecat, Walk\_Stage, Run\_Stage
3. There were several linear dependencies. We removed the predictors in ***bold italics***
   1. ***Leglength***, HeightCMAvg, SittingHeightAVG
   2. FirstRun, ***LastFullStage***
   3. LastWalk, ***Walk\_Speed***
   4. ***Walk\_METSAdult***, Walk\_VO2mlkgmin
   5. ***Run\_Speed***, FirstRun
   6. ***Run\_METSAdult***, Run\_VO2mlkgmin
4. There were several highly correlated independent variables >90%: We removed the predictors in ***bold italics***
   1. ***HeightCMAv*** with SittingHeightAVG
   2. WeightKGAvg with ***WaistAVG***,
   3. ***WaistAVG***, WeightKGAvg, BMIcont
   4. BMIcont with ***WaistAvg***
   5. ***BMIperc*** with BMIz
   6. ***Lastwalk*** with FirstRun
   7. ***Walk\_METSYouth1*** with Run\_METSYouth1
   8. ***Walk\_METSYouth2*** with Walk\_METSYouth3
   9. ***Run\_METSYouth2*** with Run\_METSYouth3
5. We removed the following due to our perceive measurability and the future ability for the model to handle the independent variable.
   1. FirstRun
   2. Walk\_Cadence
   3. Agecat
   4. Walk\_Stage
   5. Run\_Stage
6. Final features considered in model selection: Age, WeightKGAvg, SittingHeightAvg, Tanita.Avg, BMIcont, BMIz, Walk\_VO2mlkgmin, Walk\_METSYouth3, Run\_Cadence, Run\_VO2mlkgmin, Run\_METSYouth1, Run\_METSYouth3, Sex, Race, Obesecat

Linear Model

1. We created our ‘best’ linear model using the “Leaps” package in R.
   1. This model considers every subset of p predictors and returns the ‘best’ model for each number of predictors (1 to p-1).
   2. The best model for each size are selected based off of performance in AIC/BIC/CIC/DIC (all will rank models of equal size the same so specific criteria is irrelevant).
   3. We chose the best model of each size based off of BIC – if the client does not like this choice, we can re-look the analysis.
   4. BIC: -25.45861
   5. R^2: .4912
   6. Model meets all 4 linear modeling assumptions: Linearity, Normality, Heteroscedasticity, Independence

Models Below OUTDATED

Purpose: Predict the cadence at which a child will go from a walk to a run.

Data Cleaning:

1. In order to conduct our analysis, we selected rows at which the child began to run. This was the fastest pace for all data as we understand that this is the point where kids went from walking to running.
2. There were two rows (one subject: ID# 68152) which contained missing information about sitting height.
   1. We did not include this subject in our analysis.
   2. However, after conclusion of our analysis, some of our models did not include Sitting Height as a predictor that impacted the cadence in which kids went from walking to running.
   3. Presently we have decided to keep this individual out of all models for consistency, but I do not feel there is a mathematical or statistical reason that this is necessary.
   4. The biggest factor for consideration of re-inserting this data point is: Does the fact we do not have data for this person reflect some sort of unique trait about this person?
3. Features used in model selection: 10 features and 1 response out of 21 total variables.
   1. Used Cadence\_stepsmin (1)
   2. Sex, Age\_years, Race, HeightCMAvg, WeightKGAvg, WaistCMAvg, leglengthCM, Tanita.Avg\_percentbodyfat, BMI\_rawscore, Obese\_status (10)
   3. Due to very high correlation with BMI\_rawscore, we removed BMI\_percentile and BMI\_zscore (2)
   4. Due to very high correlation, we only used HeightCMAvg and not SittingHeightCMAvg (1)
   5. Removed Agecat because it was a less granular version of age.
   6. Removed id as it is unique to each individual (1)
   7. Stage/TreadmillSpeed\_MPH because they are a different version of the Cadence\_stepsmin (2)
   8. Removed V02mlkgmin/METSYouth2/METSAdult because they require an invasive measurement and note practically useful for prediction (2)

Model 1: Linear Regression

1. We created a ‘best subsets’ regression of the above predictors using all the data. Best subsets were selected by lowest ‘bic’ which takes into consideration error, number of samples, and features
2. The best model is below. (5 predictors)
   1. Cadence\_stepsmin~Sex+Age\_years+WaistCMAvg+Racelimited+Tanita.Avg\_percentbodyfat
   2. BIC is -7.789.
   3. Leave one out cross validation RMSE is 18.95053.
3. Compare with second best model 6 predictors:
   1. Cadence\_stepsmin~Sex+Age\_years+WaistCMAvg+Racelimited+Tanita.Avg\_percentbodyfat +obeselimited(only ‘obese’)
   2. b. BIC is -7.6769
   3. c. Leave one out cross validation RMSE is 18.73
4. If we limit to only 3 predictors (for simplicity):
   1. Cadence\_stepsmin~Age\_years+WaistCMAvg+Racelimited
   2. BIC is -3.1176
   3. Leave one out cross validation RMSE is 19.967

Model 2: Survival Analysis

1) Best Subsets is not available for Survival Analysis so we used a ‘purposeful selection’ method of arriving at the best model. We tried all factors univariately. All factors that had a p-value below .25, we retained for the ‘saturated model.’ Also, compared with a ‘full model’ and factors that we would have removed from the univariate analysis – if significant in the full model, we retained to begin.

2) This may not be as relevant, because the model coefficients should be interpreted as ‘for every one unit increase in feature x is betax times more likely to ‘run’ than before.

3) The ‘best’ model according to the purposeful selection is:

Survival time ~ Sex+Age\_years+Race2+HeightCMAvg+WeightKGAvg+WaistCMAvg+ Tanita.Avg\_percentbodyfat+BMI\_rawscore+Obese\_status2

Model 3: Cluster Analysis

1. We wanted to see if there is any underlying structure in the static variables (those that don’t change in a subject over short duration). Clustering at high dimensions loses some of its benefit, so we looked at variables with high covariance and eliminated a few of them from our cluster analysis. The highest covariance was between waist and BMI (.958). Waist also had a high covariance with Weight (.919), so we eliminated it. BMI was strongly correlated with Tanita and weight as well (.83 and .889 respectively) so that was also eliminated. Finally, we took out Height as it correlated strongly with Age and leglength (.845 and .947, respectively).
2. We were then left with four primary factors to cluster: Age, leglength, Weight, and Tanita. We looked at a pair-wise depiction of these variables and only one pairing (Weight to Leglength) seemed to have some cluster aspects. However, after further analysis, this secondary cluster was only males as they had the larger height elements.
3. We used a GMM (Gaussian Mixture Model) in order to find the best possible model with the optimal number of clusters based on a BIC metric. The model assigns a vector of probabilities associated to each observation indicating the posterior probability of belonging to the respective clusters.
4. After doing this clustering, we then put the clusters into a linear regression model, using their assigned cluster as a factor. The results of this were inconclusive in that the cluster did not help in predicting run cadence time in children.